# ON THE RESIDUALITY OF MIXING BY CONVOLUTIONS PROBABILITIES

BY

WOJCIECH BARTOSZEK\*

Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics Potchefstroom University for Christian Higher Education 2520 Potchefstroom, South Africa

#### ABSTRACT

A probability measure  $\mu$  on a locally compact  $\sigma$  - compact amenable Hausdorff group G is called mixing by convolutions if for every pair of probabilities  $\nu_1$ ,  $\nu_2$  on G we have:

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|(\nu_1-\nu_2)\star\mu^{\star n}\| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\mu^{\star n}\star(\nu_1-\nu_2)\| = 0.$ 

It is proved that the set of all mixing by convolutions probabilities is a norm (variation) dense subset of the set P(G) of all probabilities on G. If G is additionally second countable the mixing measures are residual in P(G).

## 1. Introduction

Let G be a locally compact  $\sigma$ -compact amenable Hausdorff group with a fixed left Haar measure  $\lambda$ . The Banach lattice (algebra with the convolution  $\star$ ) of all real finite regular Borel measures on G is denoted by M(G). For a measure  $\nu \in M(G)$ ,  $\|\nu\|$  is the total variation norm and  $|\nu|$  is the modulus of  $\nu$ . The convex, convolution semigroup of all regular probabilities on G is denoted by

<sup>\*</sup> I would like to thank Professors A. Iwanik and R. Rębowski for sending preprints [I], [I-R], and [R] in which the residuality of  $MIX_{\star L^1}$  was studied originally. I am also grateful to Professors J.J. Grobler and J.H. Fourie for participating in a seminar on which the first version of this paper was essentially improved. *Current address:* Department of Mathematics, Applied Mathematics and Astronomy, University of South Africa, PO Box 392, 0001 Pretoria, South Africa. Received May 29, 1991 and in revised form October 17, 1991

W. BARTOSZEK

P(G). Similarly, for every closed  $K \subseteq G$ , P(K) is the set of all probabilities  $\mu$  with  $supp(\mu) \subseteq K$ . The set of all probabilities with compact support is denoted by  $P_c(G)$ . As usual  $C_b(G)$  denotes the Banach space of all bounded continuous real valued functions on G with the supremum norm. We shall consider P(G) as a topological space with respect to two topologies. The first is the one inherited from the Banach lattice M(G) with the total variation norm. The second one is the weak topology i.e. the topology with base sets:

$$V_{\mu}(f_1, ..., f_k, \varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_k) = \{\nu \in P(G) : |\int f_j d\nu - \int f_j d\mu| < \varepsilon_j, j = 1, ..., k\}$$
  
where  $f_1, ..., f_k$  are from  $C_b(G)$  and  $\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_k$  are positive numbers.

It is well known that if G is a polish group (metrizable separable and complete) then P(G) with the weak topology is a polish space as well. In particular P(G) is a Baire space then (see [P] for the details). We recall that every locally compact second countable Hausdorff group is a polish group (see Theorem 8.3 in [H-R 1]). By  $\mathcal{L}(L^1(\lambda))$  we denote the Banach algebra of linear bounded operators on  $L^1(\lambda)$ the Banach (convolution) algebra of all real finite signed measures absolutely continuous with respect to  $\lambda$ . An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(L^1(\lambda))$  is called **stochastic** if:  $T(f) \geq 0$  and ||T(f)|| = ||f|| for all nonnegative  $f \in L^1(\lambda)$ . The set of all stochastic operators is denoted by S. An important class of stochastic operators is the class of convolution operators. Recall, that for a probability measure  $\mu$  on G, the operator  $L^1(\lambda) \geq f \rightarrow T_{\mu}(f) = f \star \mu$  is called a **right convolution operator** ( $_{\mu}T(f) = \mu \star f$  is called a **left convolution operator**).

The importance of convolution operators is commonly recognized because of their coherence with the Markov processes on groups. Every "time-space" homogeneous Markov process (random walk)  $\{\xi_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  is represented by some stochastic convolution operator. More precisely if  $\{\xi_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  is such a Markov process, with transition probabilities  $P(g, A) = \mu(g^{-1}A)$ , then for every natural n and an initial distribution  $f \in P(G) \cap L^1(\lambda)$  one has

$$P_f(\xi_n \in A) = \int_A T^n_\mu(f) \ d\lambda = \int_A T_{\mu^{\star n}}(f) \ d\lambda$$

where A is a Borel subset of G. In this paper some concepts of asymptotic behaviour of random walks on amenable groups are investigated. We consider the iterates  $T^n_{\mu}(f)$  or  ${}_{\mu}T^n(f)$  and study their dependence on the initial density f. The set of measures  $\mu \in P(G)$  for which the distributions  $T^n_{\mu}(f_1)$ ,  $T^n_{\mu}(f_2)$  are asymptotically close independently of the starting ones  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  is the main subject of our considerations. There are three operator topologies in  $\mathcal{L}(L^1(\lambda))$  which are helpful in this task: the operator norm topology (o.n.t.), the strong operator topology (s.o.t.), and the weak operator topology (w.o.t.). Using Wendel's Theorem (see [H-R 2]) it was noticed in [I-R] that the class of right (or left) convolution operators is s.o.t. Baire. Let  $M_{L^1*}$  (respectively  $M_{*L^1}$ ) denote the set of all right convolution operators on  $L^1(\lambda)$  (left convolution operators on  $L^1(\lambda)$ ). According to [I-R] and [R] the operator  $T_{\mu} \in M_{L^1*}$  (or  $\mu T \in M_{*L^1}$ ) is called **norm completely mixing** if for each pair of probabilities  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in L^1(\lambda)$ 

(1<sub>r</sub>) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_{\mu}^{n}(\nu_{1} - \nu_{2})\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|(\nu_{1} - \nu_{2}) \star \mu^{\star n}\| = 0$$
  
(or (1<sub>l</sub>) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\mu^{T^{n}}(\nu_{1} - \nu_{2})\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|\mu^{\star n} \star (\nu_{1} - \nu_{2})\| = 0$$
)

The set of all norm completely mixing right (or left) convolution operators on  $L^{1}(\lambda)$  is denoted by  $MIX_{L^{1}\star}$  (or  $MIX_{\star L^{1}}$  repectively). If  $T_{\mu} \in MIX_{L^{1}\star}$  (or  $_{\mu}T \in MIX_{\star L^{1}}$ ) we simply say that the probability  $\mu$  is **right** (left)  $L^{1-}$ **mixing by convolutions** and denote the set of all such measures by  $mix_{L^{1}\star}$  (or  $mix_{\star L^{1}}$ ). A probability measure  $\mu$  on G is called  $L^{1}$ - **mixing by convolutions** if  $\mu \in mix_{L^{1}\star} \cap mix_{\star L^{1}} = mix(L^{1})$ . It was proved in [RO] that there exists a right  $L^{1}$ -mixing by convolutions probability on a locally compact, Hausdorff group G if and only if G is  $\sigma$ -compact and amenable. If G is additionally abelian and second countable, it was recently observed that  $MIX_{\star L^{1}}$  is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  in  $M_{\star L^{1}}$  in both the strong operator and the operator norm topologies (see Theorems 3 and 5 in [I-R]). It is our aim to extend this result of Iwanik and Rebowski to all amenable locally compact polish groups.

In the first part of our paper a stronger version of mixing is considered. Namely a measure  $\mu \in P(G)$  is called **right (left) mixing by convolutions** if for each pair of probabilities  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in P(G)$  one has

$$(2_r) \lim_{n \to \infty} \|(\nu_1 - \nu_2) \star \mu^{\star n}\| = 0 \quad (\text{ or } (2_l) \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \|\mu^{\star n} \star (\nu_1 - \nu_2)\| = 0 ).$$

The set of all probabilities on G satisfying  $(2_r)$  (or  $(2_l)$  respectively) is denoted by  $mix_{M\star}$  (or  $mix_{\star M}$ ). The intersection  $mix_{M\star} \cap mix_{\star M}$  is denoted by mix(M) and measures from the last set are called **mixing by convolutions**. Obviously the following inclusions hold:  $mix_{M\star} \subseteq mix_{L^1\star}$ ,  $mix_{\star M} \subseteq mix_{\star L^1}$  and  $mix(M) \subseteq mix(L^1)$ . Let us notice that these inclusions W. BARTOSZEK

are proper even for G to be the one dimensional torus. Indeed, the measure  $\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \delta_{exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})}$  is  $L^1$ - mixing by convolutions (see [L]) but for every irrational  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$  and arbitrary natural n we have  $\|(\delta_{exp(2\pi i\omega)} - \delta_1) \star \mu^{\star n}\| = 2$ , so  $\mu$  is not mixing by convolutions.

We show that for every locally compact  $\sigma$ -compact Hausdorff and amenable group G the set mix(M) is large. Namely, by our Theorem 1, the norm variation closure of mix(M) is P(G). Applying this fact to the convolution operators we obtain that  $MIX_{L^{1}\star}$  is norm operator dense in  $M_{L^{1}\star}$ . Moreover if Gis additionally second countable then  $MIX_{L^{1}\star}$  is a  $G_{\delta}$  in the w.o.t. (so in the s.o.t. and the o.n.t. as well).

Finally let us remark that P(G) with the variation norm topology and  $M_{L^{1}\star}$  with the operator norm topology are homeomorphic (by the existence of an approximative unit in  $L^{1}(\lambda)$  they are isometric even). P(G) with the weak measure topology is homeomorphic with  $M_{L^{1}\star}$  equipped with the weak or strong operator toplogy (for this fact we can use the Theorem 1.1.9 and the Lemma 2.5.13 from [H]).

CONVENTION: All topological groups considered in this paper are at least locally compact  $\sigma$ -compact Hausdorff and amenable. The measures are Borel and regular.

## 2. Existence and denseness of mixing measures

The following idea of mixing will be helpful in the sequel. Namely let  $\alpha_n \to 0$  be a sequence of positive numbers. Then,

DEFINITION 1: A probability measure  $\mu$  on G is called right (left) mixing by convolutions with the rate  $\alpha_n$  if for every compact set  $K \subseteq G$  there exists a natural number  $N_K$  such that for each pair of probabilities  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in P(K)$  and  $n \geq N_K$  the following inequality

$$(3_r) ||(\nu_1 - \nu_2) \star \mu^{\star n}|| \le \alpha_n \quad (\text{or} \quad (3_l) ||\mu^{\star n} \star (\nu_1 - \nu_2)|| \le \alpha_n) \quad \text{holds.}$$

The set of all such probabilities is denoted by  $mix_{M\star,\alpha_n}$  (or by  $mix_{\star M,\alpha_n}$  respectively).

Remark 1: Since  $P_c(G)$  is a norm variation dense subset of P(G) and the operators  $T_{\mu}$  are contractions on M(G) we have  $mix_{\star M,\alpha_n} \subseteq mix_{\star M}, mix_{M\star,\alpha_n} \subseteq$ 

 $mix_{M*}$  and  $mix(M)_{\alpha_n} \subseteq mix(M)$ , where  $mix(M)_{\alpha_n} = mix_{*M,\alpha_n} \cap mix_{M*,\alpha_n}$ . Let us notice that  $\sim: M(G) \to M(G)$  defined as  $\tilde{\mu}(A) = \mu(A^{-1})$  is a linear isometry onto so  $(mix_{L^1*})^{\sim} = mix_{*L^1}$  and  $(mix_{M*})^{\sim} = mix_{*M}$ . The mapping  $\sim$  is a homeomorphism of P(G) in the weak measure topology as well. Hence it is justifiable to consider only the right mixing.

Recall (see [E-G] and [E]) that the amenability of G is equivalent to the following Emerson condition: for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every compact set  $K \subseteq G$  there exists a compact symmetric set  $S \subseteq G$  with  $0 < \lambda(S) < +\infty$  such that for all  $g \in K$  we have  $\lambda(gS \Delta S) \leq \varepsilon \lambda(S)$  where  $\Delta$  denotes the symmetric difference.

The following Lemma 1 reformulates the above condition somewhat.

LEMMA 1: Let K be a compact subset of the group G. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a symmetric, compact set  $S_{K,\varepsilon}$  such that if  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in P(K)$  then

(4) 
$$\|(\nu_1 - \nu_2) \star \chi_{S_{K,\epsilon}}\| \leq \varepsilon \lambda(S_{K,\epsilon}).$$

**Proof:** Let  $S_{K,\epsilon}$  be a symmetric, compact set from the Emerson's characterization with  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$  instead of  $\epsilon$  and  $\chi_{S_{K,\epsilon}}$  be its characteristic function. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\nu_{1} - \nu_{2}) \star \chi_{S_{K,\epsilon}}\| &= \int_{G} |\int_{G} \chi_{S_{K,\epsilon}}(y^{-1}x) \ d(\nu_{1} - \nu_{2})(y)| \ d\lambda(x) \\ &= \int_{G} |\int_{G} (\chi_{S_{K,\epsilon}}(y^{-1}x) - \chi_{S_{K,\epsilon}}(x)) \ d(\nu_{1} - \nu_{2})(y)| \ d\lambda(x) \\ &\leq \int_{G} \int_{G} |\chi_{y}S_{K,\epsilon}(x) - \chi_{S_{K,\epsilon}}(x)| \ d|\nu_{1} - \nu_{2}|(y) \ d\lambda(x) \\ &= \int_{K} \lambda(yS_{K,\epsilon} \triangle S_{K,\epsilon}) \ d|\nu_{1} - \nu_{2}|(y) \leq \epsilon\lambda(S_{K,\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

For a compact set  $K \subseteq G$  and positive  $\varepsilon$  let  $R_{K,\varepsilon}(L_{K,\varepsilon})$  denote the set of all probabilities  $\mu \in L^1(\lambda)$  such that  $(4_r) ||(\nu_1 - \nu_2) \star \mu|| \le \varepsilon (\operatorname{or}(4_l) ||\mu \star (\nu_1 - \nu_2)|| \le \varepsilon$  respectively) for all  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in P(K)$ .

By Lemma 1 the set  $R_{K,\epsilon}$  is nonempty. Clearly it is closed in the  $L^1$  norm and convex. Notice that  $L_{K,\epsilon} = (R_{K^{-1},\epsilon})^{\sim}$ , so the set  $L_{K,\epsilon}$  has same property. If K is compact and symmetric then  $\mu \in R_{K,\epsilon}$  if and only if  $\tilde{\mu} \in L_{K,\epsilon}$ .

Notice that  $R_{K,\epsilon} \star P(G) \subseteq R_{K,\epsilon}$  and  $P(G) \star L_{K,\epsilon} \subseteq L_{K,\epsilon}$  so the set (5)  $B_{K,\epsilon} = R_{K,\epsilon} \cap L_{K,\epsilon}$  is nonempty (it contains  $R_{K,\epsilon} \star L_{K,\epsilon}$ ). The following three inclusions will be useful in the sequel:  $(6_r)R_{K_2,\epsilon_2} \subseteq R_{K_1,\epsilon_1}, (6_l)L_{K_2,\epsilon_2} \subseteq L_{K_1,\epsilon_1}$ and (6)  $B_{K_2,\epsilon_2} \subseteq B_{K_1,\epsilon_1}$  for  $K_2 \supseteq K_1$  and  $\epsilon_2 \leq \epsilon_1$ .

#### W. BARTOSZEK

Now we introduce a class  $\mathcal{A}$  of positive sequences  $(\alpha_n)$  converging to 0 with the following property: there exists a decreasing to 0 sequence  $0 < r_n \leq 1$  such that for every  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ 

(7) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{(1-\varepsilon r_{n-1})^n}{\alpha_n} = 0.$$

It is rather an elementary fact that the class  $\mathcal A$  coincides with

 $\{ (\alpha_n) : \exists_{0 < a < 1} \exists_{\lambda_n \to 0} \text{ with } n\lambda_n \to \infty \text{ such that } \alpha_n = a^{n\lambda_n} \}.$ 

The following Theorem 1, which is the main result of our paper is a generalization of the Theorem 1.10 from [R]. The first phrases of our proof can be recognized as some pieces of Rosenblatt's proof. However for the reader's convenience and the completeness of the paper a full proof is given here. Moreover, our approach to this problem seems to be more natural and effective than the one presented in [RO]. We remark that, in the abelian case, if a measure  $\mu_0$  is mixing by convolutions then for every  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$  and probability measure  $\mu$ on G the convex combination  $(1-\varepsilon)\mu + \varepsilon\mu_0$  is mixing by convolutions (for  $L^1$ -mixing we may apply results from [F] or [L]). In the following Theorem 1 only the amenability and  $\sigma$ -compactness of G are assumed to obtain a similar result. Namely we prove that for some measure  $\mu_0$  on G any convex combination  $(1-\varepsilon)\mu + \varepsilon\mu_0$  belongs to  $mix(M)_{\alpha_n}$  if  $\mu$  is a compactly supported probability on G,  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$  and  $(\alpha_n) \in \mathcal{A}$ . In particular the norm denseness of  $mix(M)_{\alpha_n}$  in P(G) is easily seen.

THEOREM 1: For every  $(\alpha_n) \in \mathcal{A}$  there exists an absolutely continuous, symmetric measure  $\mu_0$  (i.e.  $\tilde{\mu}_0 = \mu_0$ ) such that for every  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and  $\mu \in P_c(G)$  the measure  $(1-\varepsilon)\mu + \varepsilon\mu_0$  belongs to  $mix(M)_{\alpha_n}$ .

Proof: By the  $\sigma$ -compactness of G we may choose an increasing sequence of symmetric compact sets  $D_n \subseteq G$  such that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Int(D_n) = G$ . Assume the neutral element of G belongs to  $D_1$ . Let  $(\gamma_n)$  be a decreasing to 0 sequence of positive numbers such that  $0 < \gamma_n \leq 2^{-n}\alpha_n$ . We begin by constructing (inductively) two sequences of compact symmetric sets  $K_n$ ,  $S_n \subseteq G$ . We set  $K_1 = D_1$  and  $S_1 = S_{K_1,\gamma_1}$ . If  $K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_{n-1}$  and  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_{n-1}$  are given we define

$$K_n = K_{n-1} \cup D_n (D_n \cup S_1 S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_{n-1} S_{n-1})^{n-1} \cup (D_n \cup S_1 S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_{n-1} S_{n-1})^{n-1} D_n$$

and  $S_n = S_{K_n,\gamma_n}$ . Now let  $r_0 = 1$  and  $r_n \searrow 0$  be such that (7) holds. The sets  $K_n$  are symmetric and so by Lemma 1 and the properties gathered in (5) and (6) we have: for all  $n \ge 1$ 

$$\frac{\chi_{S_n}}{\lambda(S_n)} \star (\widetilde{\frac{\chi_{S_n}}{\lambda(S_n)}}) = \frac{d \mu_n}{d \lambda} \in B_{K_n, \gamma_n}.$$

We show that the measure  $\mu_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (r_{n-1} - r_n)\mu_n$  satisfies the property described in the statement of our Theorem 1. Let  $\mu$ ,  $\nu_1$ ,  $\nu_2 \in P_c(G)$  be arbitrary and N be such that for all  $n \geq N$  the inclusion  $supp(\mu + \nu_1 + \nu_2) \subseteq$  $D_n$  holds. For a fixed  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$  we introduce the following notations:  $\rho_{n,0} = (1-\varepsilon)\mu + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (r_{j-1} - r_j)\mu_j$  and  $\rho_{n,1} = \varepsilon \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} (r_{j-1} - r_j)\mu_j$ . Clearly  $\|\rho_{n,0}\| = 1 - \varepsilon r_{n-1}$ ,  $\|\rho_{n,1}\| = \varepsilon r_{n-1}$  and  $\frac{\rho_{n,1}}{\varepsilon r_{n-1}} \in R_{K_n,\gamma_n}$  (the last easily follows from the properties (5) and (6) and the fact that the sequence  $\gamma_n$ is decreasing and  $K_n$  is increasing). Now let us start to estimate:

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_{n} &= \| (\nu_{1} - \nu_{2}) \star ((1 - \varepsilon)\mu + \varepsilon\mu_{0})^{*n} \| \\ &= \| (\nu_{1} - \nu_{2}) \star (\rho_{n,0} + \rho_{n,1})^{*n} \| \\ &\leq \| (\nu_{1} - \nu_{2}) \star \rho_{n,0}^{*n} \| + \| (\nu_{1} - \nu_{2}) \star \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{q_{1} + q_{2} + \ldots + q_{n} = j} \rho_{n,q_{1}} \star \ldots \star \rho_{n,q_{n}} \| \\ &\leq 2 \| \rho_{n,0} \|^{n} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{q_{1} + q_{2} + \ldots + q_{n} = j} \| (\nu_{1} - \nu_{2}) \star \rho_{n,q_{1}} \star \ldots \star \rho_{n,q_{n}} \| . \end{split}$$

The first term in the last inequality is exactly  $2(1 - \varepsilon r_{n-1})^n$  and the second term can be estimated by

$$2^{n} \sup_{0 \le j < n} \| (\nu_{1} - \nu_{2}) \star \rho_{n,0}^{\star j} \star \rho_{n,1} \|$$
  
$$\leq 2^{n} \sup_{\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \in P(K_{n})} \varepsilon r_{n-1} \| (\tau_{1} - \tau_{2}) \star \frac{\rho_{n,1}}{\varepsilon r_{n-1}} \| \le 2^{n} r_{n-1} \frac{\alpha_{n}}{2^{n}} = r_{n-1} \alpha_{n}$$

(notice that  $\nu_k \star (\frac{\rho_{n,0}}{1-\epsilon r_{n-1}})^{\star j}$  are supported on  $K_n$ , here  $k = 1, 2, 0 \leq j < n$  and n > N). Finally, for N large enough, we have for all n > N  $2(1-\epsilon r_{n-1})^n < \frac{1}{2}\alpha_n$ ,  $r_{n-1} < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\epsilon_n \leq \alpha_n$ . Consequently,  $(1-\epsilon)\mu + \epsilon\mu_0 \in mix_{M\star,\alpha_n}$ . It can be shown analogously that  $(1-\epsilon)\mu + \mu_0 \in mix_{\star M,\alpha_n}$  so the proof of the Theorem 1 is completed.

Remark 2: We notice that if the measure  $\mu$  (in Theorem 1) is taken to be absolutely continuous, then the convex combination  $(1-\varepsilon)\mu + \varepsilon\mu_0$  is again absolutely continuous. In particular the set  $mix(M) \cap L^1(\lambda)$  is norm dense in  $P(G) \cap L^1(\lambda)$  as well. Now the following Corollary 1 is a simply consequence of our Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 1: For any 
$$(\alpha_n) \in \mathcal{A}$$
 we have :  $\overline{mix(M)_{\alpha_n}}^{\parallel \parallel} = P(G)$  and  $\overline{mix(M)_{\alpha_n} \cap L^1(\lambda)}^{\parallel \parallel} = P(G) \cap L^1(\lambda).$ 

Remark 3: The rate of convergence of  $\|(\nu_1 - \nu_2) \star \mu^{\star n}\| \to 0$  which can be obtained using our Theorem 1 is not exponential, but it seems to be fast enough from the probabilistic point of view. For instance if  $\alpha_n = a^{n\lambda_n}$  where 0 < a < 1 and  $\frac{n\lambda_n}{\ln(n)} \to +\infty$  then for every  $\mu \in mix(M)_{\alpha_n}$  and a compact subset  $K \subseteq G$  we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{\nu_1,\nu_2 \in P(K)} n^k \| (\nu_1 - \nu_2) \star \mu^{\star n} \| < \infty.$$

In order to check it we notice that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k \alpha_n < \infty$ .

### 3. Residuality of mixing measures

Assume that G is an amenable locally compact polish group. Obviously we have the following representation of right  $L^{1}$ - mixing by convolutions measures:

(8<sub>r</sub>) 
$$mix_{L^{1}\star} = \bigcap_{l,k} \bigcap_{m} \bigcap_{N} \bigcup_{n \ge N} \{ \mu \in P(G) : ||(\nu_{l} - \nu_{k}) \star \mu^{\star n}|| < \frac{1}{m} \}$$

where  $\{\nu_1, \nu_2, ...\}$  is an  $L^1$  norm dense subset of  $P(G) \cap L^1(\lambda)$ . From this it is easily seen that  $mix_{L^1\star}$  is a weak  $G_{\delta}$  in P(G). A similar representation  $(\aleph_l)$  for  $mix_{\star L^1}$  shows that the set of left  $L^1$ -mixing by convolutions measures is also a weak  $G_{\delta}$ . In particular  $mix(L^1)$ , as the intersection of two  $G_{\delta}$ - sets is a weak  $G_{\delta}$ . Now we are in position to formulate the following category result.

THEOREM 2: For every locally compact, amenable and polish group G we have: (9)  $mix(L^1)$  is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  in P(G) for both the weak and the variation norm topologies on P(G) and (10)  $mix(L^1) \cap L^1(\lambda)$  is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  in  $P(G) \cap L^1(\lambda)$  for the  $L^1$ -norm topology.

Proof: It was noticed in the Corollary 1 that  $mix(L^1)$  and  $mix(L^1) \cap L^1(\lambda)$  are dense subsets for these topologies. The proof that they are  $G_{\delta}$ -sets was presented just before the formulation of this Theorem.

Remark 4: We do not consider the residuality of  $mix(L^1) \cap L^1(\lambda)$  in the weak topology since  $P(G) \cap L^1(\lambda)$  can be meager in itself for this topology. In fact, assume that  $U_n \subseteq G$  is a decreasing sequence of dense and open subsets of Gwith  $\lambda(U_n) \searrow 0$  and let  $F_n = \{\varrho \in P(G) \cap L^1(\lambda) : \varrho(G \setminus U_n) \ge \frac{1}{2}\}$ . Clearly every set  $F_n$  is closed in the weak topology on  $P(G) \cap L^1(\lambda)$ . Since  $U_n$  is dense and open it follows from Theorem 6.3 in [P] that the absolutely continuous measures with supports in  $U_n$  are weakly dense in P(G). This means that  $P(G) \cap L^1(\lambda) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$  is a space of the first category. The next Corollary 2 elucidate this case quite thoroughly.

COROLLARY 2: Let G be an amenable locally compact polish group and denote by  $P_s(G)$  the set of all singular (with respect to the Haar measure) probabilities on G. If the topology on G is not discrete then  $mix(L^1) \cap P_s(G)$  contains a weak dense  $G_{\delta}$ .

**Proof:** It is sufficient to notice that  $P_s(G)$  contains a weak dense  $G_{\delta}$ . As in the Remark 4 we choose a decreasing sequence of dense and open sets  $U_n \subset G$  with  $\lambda(U_n) \searrow 0$ . The set of all probabilities on G with nonzero absolutely continuous component is included in the countable union  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{k,n}$  where

$$F_{k,n} = \{ \varrho \in P(G) : \varrho(G \setminus U_n) \geq \frac{1}{k} \}$$

are weakly closed and nowhere dense. Obviously the following inclusion

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (P(G) \setminus F_{k,n}) \subseteq P_s(G)$$

holds. Since P(G) with the weak topology is a polish space  $mix(L^1) \cap P_s(G)$  contains a dense weak  $G_\delta$  of the form  $mix(L^1) \cap \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (P(G) \setminus F_{k,n})$ .

The results obtained in this note are usefull in creating  $L^1$ -mixing by convolutions measures with some additional properties. The following Corollaries are good examples of this. Let us recall (see [P], Definition 4.1) that a probability measure  $\mu$  on a group G is indecomposable if there do not exist two nondegenerate (not  $\delta_g$  where  $g \in G$ ) probabilities  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$  with  $\mu = \mu_1 \star \mu_2$ . Assume that G is an infinite polish group. Then the set of all indecomposable probabilities on G (denoted by  $P_I(G)$ ) is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  in P(G) in the weak topology. If in addition G is uncountable then a weak dense  $G_{\delta}$  is the set  $P_{I,1}$  of all nonatomic and indecomposable probabilities (see [P], Theorems 4.3 and 4.4). COROLLARY 3: Let G be an infinite locally compact polish amenable group. Then: (11)  $mix(L^1) \cap P_I(G)$  is a weak dense  $G_{\delta}$  in P(G) and (12) if G is in addition uncountable then  $mix(L^1) \cap P_{I,1}(G)$  is a weak dense  $G_{\delta}$  in P(G).

The next result is a simple application of (10) and Theorem 12.1 from [P].

COROLLARY 4: For every locally compact, noncompact abelian polish group G the set  $P_I(G) \cap mix(L^1) \cap L^1(\lambda)$  is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  in  $P(G) \cap L^1(\lambda)$  for the  $L^1$ -norm topology.

We finish our consideration with the following Theorem 3 which provides an affirmative answer to a question raised by A.Iwanik.

THEOREM 3: Let G be a locally compact, second countable, Hausdorff amenable group. Then  $MIX_{L^1*}$  (and  $MIX_{*L^1}$ ) is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  in  $M_{L^1*}$  ( $M_{*L^1}$  respectively) in the operator norm, the strong operator and the weak operator topologies.

**Proof:** By Theorem 8.3 of [H-R 1] G is completely metrizable and separable, and therefore polish. Since  $M_{L^{1}\star}$  is homeomorphic to P(G) with respect to the appropriate topologies, an application of Theorem 2 yields the desired result.

We end our paper with the following three Remarks.

Remark 5: If G is not second countable we may not represent  $mix_{L^1\star}$  and  $mix_{M\star}$  as in  $(8_r)$ . However for the norm variation topology these sets are still dense  $G_{\delta^-}$  sets. It follows from the following representations:

$$mix_{M\star} = \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \ge N} \{\mu : sup_{\nu_1,\nu_2 \in P(K_l)} \| (\nu_1 - \nu_2) \star \mu^{\star n} \| < \frac{1}{m} \}$$

and

$$mix_{L^{1}\star} = \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \ge N} \{ \mu : sup_{\nu_{1},\nu_{2} \in P(K_{l}) \cap L^{1}(\lambda)} \| (\nu_{1} - \nu_{2}) \star \mu^{\star n} \| < \frac{1}{m} \}.$$

Remark 6: It is noticed in [RO] (see p.37) that for a  $\sigma$ -compact locally compact amenable and unimodular Hausdorff group G there exists  $f \in L^1(\lambda) \cap P(G)$  such that for all  $h_1, h_2 \in L^1(\lambda) \cap P(G)$  we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|(h_1 - h_2) \star f^{\star n}\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|f^{\star n} \star (h_1 - h_2)\| = 0.$$

Our Theorem 1 shows that the unimodularity assumption was not essential. Moreover Rosenblatt's existence result is now replaced by the denseness of such measures (and if G is in addition second countable, by our Theorem 2 such measures form a norm dense  $G_{\delta}$  subset of  $L^1(\lambda) \cap P(G)$  even).

Remark 7: Recently, the author has been informed that similar result to our Theorem 1 was obtained by R. Rębowski. It is proved in [R] that for any second countable, locally compact, and nilpotent group G if  $\mu \in mix_{L^1\star}$  is spread out then for any positive  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$  and any  $\nu \in P(G)$  the convex combination  $\mu_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \mu + (1 - \varepsilon)\nu$  is right  $L^1$ -mixing by convolutions.

#### References

- [E] W.Emerson, Large symmetric sets in amenable groups and the individual ergodic theorem, Am. J. Math. 96 (1974), 242-247.
- [E-G] W.Emerson and F.Greenleaf, Covering properties and Følner Conditions for locally compact groups, Math. Z. 102 (1967), 370-384.
- [F] S.R.Foguel, On iterates of convolutions, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 47 (1975), 368-370.
- [H] H.Heyer, Probability measures on Locally Compact Groups, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1977.
- [H-R 1] E.Hewitt and K.Ross, Abstract Harmonic Analysis, Vol.1, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1963.
- [H-R 2] E.Hewitt and K.Ross, Abstract Harmonic Analysis, Vol.2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.
- A.Iwanik, Baire category of mixing for stochastic operators, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo II Ser. Proceedings of the Conference on Measure Theory, Oberwolfach (1990).
- [I-R] A.Iwanik and R.Rębowski, Structure of mixing and category of complete mixing for stochastic operators, Annales Pol. Math. 56 (1992), 233-242.
- [L] M.Lin, Convergence of convolution powers on a LCA group, Semestrbericht Funktionanalysis Wintersemestr 82/83 Tübingen.
- [P] K.Parthasarathy, Probability Measures on Metric Spaces, Academic Press, New York and London, 1967.
- [R] R. Rębowski, Most random walks on nilpotent groups are mixing, Annales Pol. Math., to appear.
- [RO] J.Rosenblatt, Ergodic and mixing random walks on locally compact groups, Math. Ann. 257 (1981), 31-42.